

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,964	10/29/2003	Jonghee Han	001425USU/2242	7993 •	
759	90 06/27/2005		EXAM	INER	
Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq.			JENKINS, DANIEL J		
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. 10th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901-2682			1742 DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	. – .
	10/695,964	HAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel J. Jenkins	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely  NTHS from the mailing date of this co  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 O	october 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	• •	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			•
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		<del></del>	04
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	received in this National	Stage
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ' ' ' '	t received	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	. receiveu.	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>λοτίσε οτ Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)</li> <li>Π Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	<del></del>	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:		•

Application/Control Number: 10/695,964

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Page 2

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of

the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g)

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Donado et al. in view of Deevi et al.

Donado et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Donado et al. discloses

at col. 4, line 19 to col. 6, line 2, a method of making an Ni-Al alloy anode comprising:

providing a Ni-Al alloy powder:

compacting said Ni-Al alloy powder; and

sintering the compacted Ni-Al alloy powder to form a Ni-Al alloy anode.

Art Unit: 1742

However, Donado et al. do not disclose Ni powder in addition to the Ni-Al alloy powder, but discloses prealloyed Ni-Al powder singularly.

Deevi et al. teaches at [019] to add Ni powder to Ni-Al alloy powder in the analgous field of forming sintered alloy compacts for the purpose of improving the compact density. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add Ni powder as taught by Deevi et al. to the invention of Donado et al. in order to improve the sinter density of the formed anode.

Deevi et al. is silent as to the amount of the Ni powder addition, leaving it to one of ordinary skill to determine through routine experimentation the amount of the addition, selecting minimum amounts of Ni so as to allow for formation of Ni-Al alloy during sintering.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,964 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742